

Interview Summary	Application No. 09/331,189	Applicant(s) Heinrich, Engelhardt
	Examiner Jennifer Winstedt	Group Art Unit 2872

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jennifer Winstedt (3) _____
 (2) Gregg Rosenblatt (4) _____

Date of Interview 3/1/01

Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement was reached. was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: Claims 16 and 29

Identification of prior art discussed:

Yano, White et al., and Dewald et al.

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

The applicant pointed out that the objective, the eyepiece, and the tube lens were not where the examiner had indicated that they were in the Yano reference. The examiner stated that the objective and the eyepiece appeared to be where she had originally stated them to be, but the location of the tube lens would have to be looked at further. The examiner agreed with the applicant that there is no tube lens in White et al. The applicant stated that he would file an after final amendment. The examiner stated that she may enter that amendment because of the misinterpretation of the White et al. reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.